Academic Honesty Policy

Policy Statement
Presenting pupils with the requirement to take responsibility for their own academic development is embedded in the educational philosophy that underpins the United World Colleges.

Subject teachers, mentors and other pastoral staff have a responsibility to instil appropriate attitudes. Understanding the difference between honest and dishonest work practices is therefore a key element.

Purpose

At UWC Dilijan, we recognise that our students come from a wide variety of educational backgrounds and that they have vastly different experiences of education. This policy aims to set out the College’s approach to academic honesty, and also to outline the support systems in place to make all students aware of the expectations in line with the UWC values of personal responsibility and integrity, as well as action and personal example.

Scope

This policy applies to all students of the college.

Procedure

All assignment work done by pupils is subject to the same level of expectation: that it will be the student’s own work, done largely unaided, and where assistance has been used that will be acknowledged. The IB Diploma Programme bases its expectations concerning Academic Honesty on the Learner Profile:

Principled students act with integrity and honesty, with a strong sense of fairness, justice and respect for the dignity of the individual, groups and communities. They take responsibility for their own actions and the consequences that accompany them. (IB Learner Profile)
This statement is mirrored in the UWC values of personal responsibility and integrity, as well as action and personal example. Every student has to understand that the expectations are

- To follow the standards of work and effort required for each subject
- To meet the UWC Dilijan Academic Honesty guidelines.
- To follow teachers’ advice on the research, writing and presenting stages of academic work, but to understand that they are ultimately responsible for the final piece of work submitted either internally or externally to the IB.

However, UWC students come from a variety of different backgrounds and education systems. We understand that academic honesty is a process of continuous learning, and therefore we have a system of student support in place throughout the school which is outlined below. In addition, teachers are required to demonstrate the importance of academic honesty at all times by acknowledging sources when presenting information to students. They will thereby be modelling the use of academic conventions and encouraging pupils to adopt an appropriate convention according to the subject being studied.

Where there is a specific preferred method this must be communicated to the pupils from the outset of studying subject, to ensure that they are familiar with these conventions by the time they reach the point of submitting final pieces of coursework, either for internal or external assessment.

Every teacher is aware that the expectations are

- To make clear the standards of work and effort required for each assignment deadline.
- To assist the pupil to meet the UWC Dilijan Academic Honesty guidelines.
- To advise the pupil at the research, writing and presenting stages
- To provide feedback and guidance on general aspects of the pupil’s essay, but not to proofread or edit the document.

Student Support
● All students and parents are informed of the school’s views on the importance of academic honesty and how such dishonesty stands in opposition to the UWC ethos. In the first weeks of the DP1 year the academic honesty policy will be explained to all students and they will be asked to sign a slip acknowledging that they have been made aware of this information, together with acknowledgement of other school and IB policies and documents, as well as a separate Extended Essay contract. In addition, each subject will include a lesson on subject-specific citation conventions into their DP1 unit plans, and set an assignment giving opportunity for practice.
● Students are given opportunities for independent research in lessons across the curriculum, allowing them to prepare for the high level of research skills required for DP study and in Higher Education.
● All students are taught how to reference correctly by their subject teachers, in collaboration with the Librarians and the IT Department. The school has a range of support material and reference tools available for this purpose.
● Care is taken by individual teachers to remind students at the start of each academic year and whenever necessary or appropriate of the content of the Academic Honesty Policy, as well as the different levels of sanctions when malpractice is discovered.

Definitions and Sanctions

As part of the learning process, we understand that expectations will not always be met, be it from genuine error or lack of knowledge or from intentional deceit. The following is to clarify the procedures we have in place for the eventuality of malpractice.

Definition

Malpractice is universally recognized as a serious academic offence. Ignorance of malpractice is not a valid excuse and any student suspected of having committed this offence will be investigated by the relevant teacher in charge of that particular incident. Malpractice includes, but is not limited to

- **Plagiarism**: to represent another person’s ideas or work as one’s own
- **Collusion**: to allow one’s work to be copied or submitted for assessment by a different person
- **Duplication**: submitting the same work in different assessments
• **Falsification**: purchasing and/or submitting pieces of written work by someone else, misrepresenting actions or signing documents in someone else’s name.

It is understood, however, that learning occurs along a developmental continuum and academic honesty will involve different specific practices in and across the different year groups. Internal consequences of academic malpractice are outlined in the following steps.

**Sanctions**

• A genuine error – If the teacher suspects malpractice in a piece of work he or she will speak to the student privately to ascertain if malpractice has taken place. If a genuine error has occurred, the teacher will clarify with the student how to source correctly. The teacher will make the mentor aware of the incident, so that the incident can be discussed in a different context, and the mentor can respond to the student’s individual circumstances.

• The first instance of malpractice – If malpractice appears to be evident, the teacher must notify the Head of Faculty who will check with the mentor if this is the first instance. If it is, the Head of Faculty will speak to the student concerned and warn him or her of the serious consequences of any further misconduct. This conversation will be recorded as a ‘Formal Conversation’ and the student will be asked to repeat the assignment in question, observing the guidelines for academic honesty.

• Repeat malpractice – Any student who has previously been warned about such malpractice and repeats the offence, irrespective of subject, will be reported to the Deputy Head. The teacher / Head of Faculty will provide a report, giving evidence of the malpractice and the student will be given an opportunity to respond in an interview with the teacher, mentor and Deputy Head. Should malpractice be confirmed, parents and the National Committee will be informed of the incident.

• Malpractice in coursework – If there is evidence of malpractice in coursework for any of the examination boards before the final authentication forms are signed, the student will have to re-write the work. This new piece of work will be undertaken under the close supervision of the teacher, the mentor and/or the Head of Faculty. The sanctions outlined above (letter) will be applied, depending on the nature of the offence.
Examination Board Sanctions – Coursework submitted to the IB Examination Board will be subject to the IB’s scrutiny. If malpractice is discovered, the student will face sanctions, in most cases a failed Diploma. The subject in question may be re-taken in one of the following examination sessions, depending on the nature of the malpractice.

Other possible consequences: In addition to the above, students who have faced serious disciplinary action due to academic dishonesty should be aware that UWC Dilijan will be obliged to report this information to universities that may require it.

All students are required to confirm that work they submit for external assessment or moderation is their own and that any assistance given and/or sources used have been acknowledged. It is also a requirement that teachers confirm that all of the work submitted for assessment / moderation was completed under the required conditions and that they are satisfied that the work is solely that of the individual candidate concerned.

Further guidance and information can be found in the following documents:

- **IB Programme Standards and Practices** (2014)
- **Academic Honesty in the IB Educational Context** (2014)
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